Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/684,328	WAGNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	

All Participants:

(1) *Steven S. Paik*.

(2) *David N. Weiss (Reg. No. 41,371)*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *13 March 2006*

Time: *12:00*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

36, 40, and 61-67

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative has agreed to amend the claims to present the claimed invention in a better form. He authorized the Examiner's Amendment to amend the claims as the attached Office Action.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)